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## Supplementary Methods

## Paired end ditags (PETs)

Gene identification signature (GIS) analysis is a sequencing and mapping strategy that allows for the high-throughput demarcation of gene transcription boundaries, i.e. the 5' and 3' gene termini ( Ng , et al., 2005). The GIS analysis procedure that produced the data we analyzed started with the isolation of polyA+ RNA from cells lines subject to different treatments: 1) the log phase of MCF7 cells, 2) MCF7 cells treated with estrogen (10nM beta-estradiol) for 12 hours, 3) HCT116 cells treated with 5FU (5-fluorouracil) for 6 hours, 4) the log phase of embryonic stem cell hES3 in feeder free culture condition. Full-length cDNAs (flcDNA) were generated from RNA and selected using the biotynlated CAP trapper method (Carninci, et al., 1996). The CAP trapper method relies on the introduction of a biotin group to the cap structure found at the 5 ' end of full length mRNAs followed by first strand cDNA synthesis. Biotin residues are selected using streptavidin-coated magnetic beads, which results in the retention of only flcDNAs. BamHI and MmeI restriction sites are ligated to the $5^{\prime}$ and 3 ' termini of the flcDNAs, which are then cloned to produce the GIS-flcDNA library. This library is digested with MmeI to yielding 18bp sequence fragments (signatures) from the 5' and 3' ends of flcDNAs. The 3' end of the signature includes two A residues from the polyA tail. The 5' and 3' flcDNA signatures are covalently ligated to form 36bp paired-end ditags (PETs), each of which represents an individual transcript. PETs are exised using BamHI digestion and then concatenated and cloned for high-throughput sequencing. A single sequencing read of $\sim 700 \mathrm{bp}$ leads, on average, to the characterization of 15 distinct PETs.

The GIS cloning and sequence analysis resulted in 584,624 PETs for the log phase MCF7 cells, 153,179 PETs for the estrogen-treated MCF7 cells, 280,340 PETs for the HCT116 cells, and $1,799,970$ PETs for the hES3 cells. These PETs were then mapped to the human genome using the following criteria: paired 5' and 3 ' ends must be on the same chromosome, they must be in the correct $5^{\prime}$ 'to- $3^{\prime}$ order and orientation, they must be within 1 million base pairs, there must be a 16 bp contiguous sequence match (out of 18 bp ) for the 5 ' end of the PET and a 14bp contiguous match (out of 16 bp ) for the $3^{\prime}$ end of the PET. Using these criteria, most of the PET sequences ( $>90 \%$ ) mapped to single locations in the human genome, but PETs mapping to 2-10 locations were also included in the analysis.

The quality and mapping specificity of PETs has been confirmed in a number of different ways ( Ng , et al., 2005). For instance, $>95 \%$ of PETs map to known human gene transcripts and the vast majority fell within 10bp of the transcription start and termination sites. Most relevant to our study is the fact that the GIS analysis has been shown to be 30 times more efficient than standard cDNA methods for characterizing transcript and has resulted in the discovery of numerous previously uncharacterized transcripts. Thus, GIS is particularly suited to the discovery of alternative transcripts in the human genome of the kind initiated by ERV sequences.

## Cap Analysis of Gene Expression (CAGE)

The CAGE technique was developed for the high-throughput characterization of transcription start sites (TSS) (Shiraki, et al., 2003). CAGE uses a similar technology to that described above
for the generation of PETs in GIS. The main difference is that CAGE only characterizes the 5' ends, as opposed to both $5^{\prime}$ and 3 ' PET ends, of flcDNAs. CAGE also employs the isolation of flcDNAs using biotinylated mRNA caps as described for GIS. Once flcDNAs are isolated, linkers with MmeI restriction sites are ligated to the $5^{\prime}$ ends of the flcDNAs, and the first 20 bp of the cDNAs is cleaved with a MmeI restriction digest. The resulting 5' end cDNA fragments (so-called CAGE tags) are amplified, concatenated and sequenced. This procedure allows for the high-throughput characterization of the 5 ' ends of mRNAs, and mapping of the resulting sequence fragments to the genome identifies transcriptional start sites (TSS). CAGE tags are mapped to the human genome mandating a contiguous match of 18 out of 20bp. Approximately $60 \%$ of CAGE tags can be unambiguously mapped to the genome in this way. Only CAGE tags that mapped to one location in the genome were used in our study.

CAGE is a slightly more mature technology than GIS and it has been extensively validated (Carninci, et al., 2006; Kodzius, et al., 2006). In addition to the ability of CAGE tags to converge on known TSS in the human genome, CAGE also identifies thousands of previously unknown TSS. This is consistent with our discovery that numerous ERV-derived TSS correspond to alternative transcripts.

## Gene expression analysis

Human and mouse gene expression data were taken from the Novartis mammalian gene expression atlas version 2 (GNF2) (Su, et al., 2004). GNF2 data are based on Affymetrix microarray experiments conducted in replicate on 79 human and 61 mouse tissues. For each Affymetrix probe, signal intensity values (i.e. expression levels) were median and $\log 2$ normalized across tissues. Affymetrix probes were mapped to GenBank RefSeq gene accessions using the UCSC Table Browser utility (Karolchik, et al., 2004). Human-mouse orthologous gene pairs and 28 corresponding tissue pairs were identified as described previously (Jordan, et al., 2004). Similarity between human-mouse orthologous gene pair tissue-specific expression profiles was measured using the Pearson correlation co-efficient $(r)$ as described previously (Jordan, et al., 2005). An adjusted $r$-value threshold of 0.5789 , above which human-mouse orthologous gene pairs can be considered to have correlated expression patterns across $n=28$ tissues, was computed using the formula $t=r^{*} \operatorname{sqrt}\left((n-2) /\left(1-r^{2}\right)\right)$, where $t$ follows the Student $-t$ distribution with $n$ - 2 degrees of freedom. The $r$-value threshold was based on a $P$-value of 0.00125 computed using a Bonferroni correction with the number of comparisons (40) performed (i.e. $P=0.05 / 40$ ).

The GNF2 data were also used to compare the values of a number of gene expression parameters for human genes that have ERV-TSS that yield chimeric transcripts (ERV+) versus all other human genes (ERV-) with Novartis expression data. Average values for the following gene expression parameters across the two sets were compared: 1) average expression, 2) maximum expression, 3) breadth of expression and 4) tissue-specificity of expression. Average, maximum and breadth of expression were computed as described previously in (Jordan, et al., 2005). Tissue-specificity was computed using the $\tau$ parameter described in (Yanai, et al., 2005). The values of $\tau$ range between 0 and 1 with more tissue-specific genes having higher values. Human gene tissue-specific expression profiles from the GNF2 data were used to group genes into 20 clusters of co-expressed genes with K-means clustering using the program Genesis (Sturn, et al., 2002). The observed counts of ERV+ genes in each of these clusters were compared to the expected counts based on the whole genome distribution using a chi-square test.

Human ESTs were mapped to ERV-derived TSS and associated genes and the tissues (or cell lines) from which they were characterized were determined using the Human ESTs track of the UCSC Genome Browser (Karolchik, et al., 2003). The distribution of EST tissue types across alternative versus primary promoters was compared using a joint chi-square test. Observed EST tissues counts for the alternative versus the primary TSS were compared with expected counts based on the pooled tissue counts to compute a chi-square value for each promoter and the joint chi-square probability for the two promoters was computed.

## Gene ontology (GO) analysis

The set of human genes with ERV-TSS that yield chimeric ERV-gene transcripts (ERV+) were evaluated for enrichment of biological process and molecular function GO terms using the program using the program GOTree Machine (GOTM) (Zhang, et al., 2004). The GOTM program was used to implement a hypergeometric test comparing GO term frequencies in the ERV+ human gene set against a background set made up of all human genes with corresponding Affymetrix probes. GOTM produces a list of enriched GO terms along with a view of the GO directed acyclic graph (DAG) showing the parent-child relationships among enriched GO terms.

## ERV age analysis

ERVs accumulate mutations after inserting into the genome. Thus, the relative ages of ERVs, i.e. the time since insertion, can be estimated using the sequence divergence levels between ERVs and their consensus sequences (Lander, et al., 2001). ERV-to-consensus divergence levels were taken from the RepeatMasker output. Average levels of ERV-to-consensus divergence were compared for all ERVs, ERVs that overlap with ESTs, ERVs that overlap with CAGE tags and ERVs that overlap with PETs.

## Supplementary Results

Supplementary Table 1. List of ERVs that inititate ERV-gene chimeric transcripts along with their associated genes. Human genome location coordinates and names (accessions) are provided for the ERV and the gene. The locations of the ERVs relative to the RefSeq gene models are shown on the left: upstream, $5^{\prime}$ UTR, In CDS.

|  | Name | Chromosome | Start | Stop | Gene Accession | Chromosome | Start | Stop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LTR41 | chr1 | 17954613 | 17954613 | NM_030812 | chr1 | 17954430 | 18026143 |
|  | MER4A | chr10 | 106004209 | 106004209 | NM_004832 | chr10 | 106004667 | 106017199 |
|  | LTR12D | chr14 | 23175701 | 23175701 | NM_005794 | chr14 | 23175421 | 23184686 |
|  | LTR12D | chr14 | 23175701 | 23175701 | NM_182908 | chr14 | 23175421 | 23184686 |
|  | MER54B | chr16 | 751307 | 751307 | NM_005823 | chr16 | 751133 | 758866 |
|  | MER54B | chr16 | 751307 | 751307 | NM_013404 | chr16 | 751133 | 758866 |
|  | MER39 | chr17 | 7392869 | 7392869 | NM_003809 | chr17 | 7393098 | 7401930 |
|  | MER39 | chr17 | 7392869 | 7392869 | NM_172089 | chr17 | 7393139 | 7405649 |
|  | MLT2E | chr19 | 9112101 | 9112101 | NM_020933 | chr19 | 9112072 | 9135082 |
|  | LTR12B | chr3 | 129354764 | 129354764 | NM_021937 | chr3 | 129355002 | 129610178 |
|  | LTR54 | chr4 | 84425703 | 84425703 | NM_015697 | chr4 | 84404001 | 84424988 |
|  | MER41D-int | chr4 | 191144734 | 191144734 | NM_020040 | chr4 | 191140672 | 191143018 |
|  | MER51A | chr7 | 10979661 | 10979661 | NM_014660 | chr7 | 10980040 | 11109807 |
|  | MER51A | chr7 | 10979661 | 10979661 | NM_001007157 | chr7 | 10980040 | 11175766 |
|  | LTR43 | chr8 | 143778578 | 143778578 | NM_017527 | chr8 | 143778532 | 143782611 |
|  | LTR75_1 | chr8 | 144192262 | 144192262 | NM_173687 | chr8 | 144192053 | 144207095 |
|  | LTR41 | chr1 | 17954234 | 17954613 | NM_030812 | chr1 | 17954430 | 18026143 |
|  | LTR41 | chr1 | 17958237 | 17958696 | NM_030812 | chr1 | 17954430 | 18026143 |
|  | HERVH48 | chr1 | 181939402 | 181944093 | NM_015149 | chr1 | 181871830 | 182164288 |
|  | HERV4_I | chr11 | 117598355 | 117598585 | NM_001098526 | chr11 | 117569651 | 117601019 |
|  | HERVL40 | chr12 | 31766377 | 31766997 | NM_207337 | chr12 | 31715338 | 31773251 |
|  | LTR40a | chr13 | 29764513 | 29764758 | NM_001014380 | chr13 | 29674767 | 29779163 |
|  | LTR40a | chr13 | 29764513 | 29764758 | NM_032116 | chr13 | 29674767 | 29779584 |
|  | LTR12D | chr14 | 23176754 | 23177445 | NM_005794 | chr14 | 23175421 | 23184686 |
|  | LTR12D | chr14 | 23176754 | 23177445 | NM_182908 | chr14 | 23175421 | 23184686 |
|  | MLT2E | chr19 | 9111991 | 9112101 | NM_020933 | chr19 | 9112072 | 9135082 |
|  | MER67D | chr19 | 42033104 | 42033171 | NM_003419 | chr19 | 42033106 | 42062310 |
|  | MER52D | chr2 | 109729279 | 109729386 | NM_023016 | chr2 | 109729199 | 109733852 |
|  | Harlequin | chr2 | 188083923 | 188088834 | NM_001032281 | chr2 | 188051551 | 188127464 |
|  | LTR16C | chr20 | 4666836 | 4667196 | NM_177549 | chr20 | 4659928 | 4669314 |
|  | LTR7 | chr4 | 89292502 | 89292904 | NM_004827 | chr4 | 89230440 | 89299035 |
|  | LTR1D | chr4 | 178965922 | 178966704 | NM_001085490 | chr4 | 178886900 | 179148663 |
|  | HERV9 | chr5 | 146361399 | 146364490 | NM_181674 | chr5 | 145949260 | 146415783 |
|  | HERV9 | chr5 | 146361399 | 146364490 | NM_181678 | chr5 | 145949260 | 146441207 |


|  | LTR5B | chr5 | 177414929 | 177415182 | NM_001080544 | chr5 | 177414995 | 177415888 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LTR50 | chr8 | 12873949 | 12874595 | NM_020844 | chr8 | 12847553 | 12931655 |
|  | LTR43 | chr8 | 143778243 | 143778578 | NM_017527 | chr8 | 143778532 | 143782611 |
|  | HERVH | chrX | 113744197 | 113747503 | NM_000868 | chr $X$ | 113724806 | 114050880 |
|  | LTR41 | chrX | 134693953 | 134694178 | NM_152582 | chr $X$ | 134693879 | 134701914 |
|  | LTR41 | chrX | 134693953 | 134694178 | NM_001017436 | chrX | 134693879 | 134719184 |
|  | LTR41 | chrX | 134781361 | 134781586 | NM_001007551 | chr $X$ | 134773630 | 134781660 |
|  | LTR41 | chrX | 134798611 | 134798836 | NM_001017438 | chr $X$ | 134790881 | 134798910 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & \end{aligned}$ | MER54B | chr16 | 725416 | 725902 | NM_022493 | chr16 | 719771 | 730998 |
|  | LTR9 | chrX | 2729224 | 2729740 | NM_175569 | chrX | 2680114 | 2743960 |
|  | MER51A | chr2 | 3339561 | 3340106 | NM_003310 | chr2 | 3171749 | 3360605 |
|  | MER21C | chr7 | 5933542 | 5933818 | NM_001099697 | chr7 | 5932302 | 5976840 |
|  | MER21C | chr7 | 5933542 | 5933818 | NM_173565 | chr7 | 5932302 | 5976840 |
|  | MER31B | chr8 | 11702728 | 11703173 | NM_004462 | chr8 | 11697598 | 11734226 |
|  | LTR36 | chr22 | 17028682 | 17028800 | NM_017414 | chr22 | 17012757 | 17040162 |
|  | MER41A-int | chr12 | 26797075 | 26797151 | NM_002223 | chr12 | 26379553 | 26877398 |
|  | LTR12 | chr12 | 29480709 | 29481473 | NM_183378 | chr12 | 29471755 | 29541886 |
|  | HERVE | chr12 | 31165336 | 31165939 | NM_001080502 | chr12 | 31158726 | 31250355 |
|  | LTR27B | chr7 | 33358011 | 33358250 | NM_001033604 | chr7 | 33135676 | 33612205 |
|  | LTR27B | chr7 | 33358011 | 33358250 | NM_001033605 | chr7 | 33135676 | 33612205 |
|  | LTR27B | chr7 | 33358011 | 33358250 | NM_014451 | chr7 | 33135676 | 33612205 |
|  | LTR27B | chr7 | 33358011 | 33358250 | NM_198428 | chr7 | 33135676 | 33612205 |
|  | MLT2B2 | chr17 | 34767819 | 34768179 | NM_032875 | chr17 | 34670366 | 34811402 |
|  | MER41G | chr22 | 34984494 | 34985070 | NM_003661 | chr22 | 34979069 | 34993522 |
|  | MER41G | chr22 | 34984494 | 34985070 | NM_145343 | chr22 | 34979069 | 34993522 |
|  | LTR7 | chr18 | 38577764 | 38578263 | NM_002930 | chr18 | 38577189 | 38949655 |
|  | MER68 | chr4 | 38951204 | 38951750 | NM_025132 | chr4 | 38860418 | 38963824 |
|  | LTR19C | chr13 | 42526886 | 42527709 | NM_013238 | chr13 | 42495361 | 42581304 |
|  | MER61F-int | chr15 | 43131729 | 43132168 | NM_003104 | chr15 | 43102632 | 43154331 |
|  | MER92C | chr4 | 46920855 | 46921054 | NM_000812 | chr4 | 46728335 | 47123202 |
|  | LTR12C | chr13 | 50224579 | 50226004 | NM_198989 | chr13 | 50184759 | 50315886 |
|  | MER4C-int | chr7 | 50525903 | 50526498 | NM_000790 | chr7 | 50493627 | 50596262 |
|  | MER4C-int | chr7 | 50525903 | 50526498 | NM_001082971 | chr7 | 50493627 | 50600648 |
|  | MER4D | chr3 | 54650117 | 54651004 | NM_018398 | chr3 | 54131732 | 55083622 |
|  | MER21C | chr5 | 54816203 | 54816867 | NM_003711 | chr5 | 54756441 | 54866630 |
|  | MER21C | chr5 | 54816203 | 54816867 | NM_176895 | chr5 | 54756441 | 54866630 |
|  | MER34B | chr4 | 62321975 | 62322273 | NM_015236 | chr4 | 62045433 | 62620762 |
|  | HERV4_I | chr19 | 63452392 | 63453769 | NM_014480 | chr19 | 63431881 | 63466820 |
|  | MER57A-int | chr6 | 64070948 | 64072854 | NM_016571 | chr6 | 64047518 | 64087841 |
|  | MER52A | chr4 | 64888385 | 64889631 | NM_001010874 | chr4 | 64826015 | 64957773 |
|  | LTR12 | chr7 | 68888991 | 68889595 | NM_015570 | chr7 | 68702254 | 69895790 |


|  | PABL_A | chr9 | 135137645 | 135138270 | NM_020469 | chr9 | 135120383 | 135140451 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MER21C | chr2 | 137646118 | 137646928 | NM_001080427 | chr2 | 137464931 | 138151757 |
|  | HERV9 | chr5 | 146361399 | 146364490 | NM_181676 | chr5 | 145949260 | 146415671 |
|  | HERV9 | chr5 | 146361399 | 146364490 | NM_181677 | chr5 | 145949260 | 146441207 |
|  | LTR1B | chr7 | 146429315 | 146430028 | NM_014141 | chr7 | 145444385 | 147749019 |
|  | LTR8A | chr7 | 147352928 | 147353621 | NM_014141 | chr7 | 145444385 | 147749019 |
|  | MER21A-int | chr4 | 147875445 | 147878401 | NM_031956 | chr4 | 147847628 | 148086484 |
|  | MER4A1-int | chr3 | 151891622 | 151892158 | NM_152394 | chr3 | 151860366 | 151904432 |
|  | HERV30 | chr3 | 155568283 | 155571737 | NM_001038705 | chr3 | 155538155 | 155630198 |
|  | MER41B | chr6 | 160579903 | 160580541 | NM_003058 | chr6 | 160557783 | 160599949 |
|  | HUERS-P2 | chr3 | 168448261 | 168451231 | NM_024687 | chr3 | 168440778 | 168580765 |
|  | LTR10G | chr3 | 168474996 | 168475504 | NM_024687 | chr3 | 168440778 | 168580765 |
|  | LTR7 | chr4 | 187400103 | 187400470 | NM_000892 | chr4 | 187385665 | 187416618 |
|  | HERVH | chr4 | 187402139 | 187405364 | NM_000892 | chr4 | 187385665 | 187416618 |
|  | HERVL40 | chr2 | 202090151 | 202090443 | NM_152525 | chr2 | 202060401 | 202192146 |
|  | MER21C | chr1 | 223825852 | 223826508 | NM_001008493 | chr1 | 223741156 | 223907468 |
|  | MER21C | chr1 | 223825852 | 223826508 | NM_018212 | chr1 | 223741156 | 223907468 |
|  | LTR49-int | chr2 | 231087179 | 231087883 | NM_003113 | chr2 | 230989114 | 231089486 |
|  | LTR49-int | chr2 | 231087179 | 231087883 | NM_001080391 | chr2 | 230989114 | 231118561 |

Supplementary Figure 1. ERV-derived promoter of the LY6K gene. A) The LTR43 (red) ERV sequence is located in the proximal promoter region and overlaps the LY6K 5' UTR. The locations of PET sequences (green) and spliced ESTs (black) are shown. B) The LTR43 (red) sequence region is enlarged and the individual PET sequences (green) and spliced ESTs (black) that support the existence of this promoter are shown. C) Evolutionary conservation of LY6K versus LY6K.


Supplementary Figure 2. Gene expression profiles and correlations for human and mouse GSTO1 and GSTO2. A) Relative expression values resulting from median and log2 normalization of Affymetrix signal intensity values across tissues. B) Pearson correlation coefficient values ( $r$ ) showing the correlation, or lack thereof, for tissue-specific expression between human paralogs and human-mouse orthologs.


Supplementary Figure 3. Ranked list of $r$-values showing the correlation between humanmouse orthologous gene tissue-specific expression profiles for all human genes that have a lineage-specific ERV-derived TSS that generates a chimeric ERV-gene transcript. An $r$ value $\geq 0.5789$, dotted line, corresponds to significantly co-expressed orthologous gene pairs.


Supplementary Table 2. Human gene expression values for genes with ERV-TSS versus all other genes.

| Expression $^{\mathbf{1}}$ | ${\mathbf{E R V}+{ }^{\mathbf{2}}}^{\boldsymbol{c}}$ ERV- $^{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{t}^{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\boldsymbol{P}^{\mathbf{4}}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Average | $378.3 \pm 52.9$ | $600.6 \pm 10.0$ | 3.97 | $7.3 \mathrm{e}-5$ |
| Maximum | $1920.1 \pm 309.9$ | $3143.5 \pm 50.33$ | 3.76 | $1.7 \mathrm{e}-4$ |
| Breadth | $23.9 \pm 2.6$ | $27.0 \pm 0.1$ | 1.18 | $2.4 \mathrm{e}-1$ |
| Tissue-specificity | $0.75 \pm 0.01$ | $0.71 \pm 0.00$ | 2.88 | $4.0 \mathrm{e}-3$ |

${ }^{1}$ Expression parameters measured using the Novartis GNF2 data as described
${ }^{2}$ Average and standard error for human genes possessing an ERV that promotes an ERV-gene chimeric transcript
${ }^{3}$ Average and standard error for all other human genes
${ }^{4}$ Test statistic and significance level for the Student's $t$-test comparing the ERV + and ERVvalues

Supplementary Figure 4. Co-expressed clusters of human genes. Average tissue-specific expression profiles across 79 tissues are shown for each cluster. Clusters enriched for genes with ERV-TSS that generate chimeric transcripts are boxed in red. Chi-square statistical analysis indicating enrichment in cluster 1 (brain) and cluster 20 (testis) is shown below the clusters.


| cluster | \#probe |  |  |  |  |  | Observed | Expected | chi-square |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1753 | 12 | 3.87598 | 17.02787535 brain |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 2926 | 4 | 6.469548 | 0.9426727 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1936 | 1 | 4.280603 | 2.514214961 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1852 | 4 | 4.094874 | 0.00219815 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 452 | 2 | 0.999397 | 1.001810501 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 550 | 2 | 1.21608 | 0.5053366 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1016 | 4 | 2.246432 | 1.368837315 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 1499 | 1 | 3.314372 | 1.616088155 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 1837 | 3 | 4.061709 | 0.277524844 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 3799 | 6 | 8.399799 | 0.685615837 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 1725 | 1 | 3.81407 | 2.07625744 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 4167 | 4 | 9.213467 | 2.950055682 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 534 | 1 | 1.180704 | 0.02765619 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 4268 | 7 | 9.436784 | 0.629230882 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 4169 | 14 | 9.217889 | 2.480891257 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 2005 | 7 | 4.433166 | 1.486215024 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 2639 | 7 | 5.834975 | 0.23261172 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 3828 | 5 | 8.46392 | 1.417633857 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 3040 | 6 | 6.721608 | 0.077469284 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 780 | 8 | 1.724623 | 22.83418023 testis |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 44775 | 99 |  | 60.15437598 Chi-square value |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $3.65815 \mathrm{E}-06$-value |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Supplementary Figure 5. Human gene co-expression cluster 1 (brain) and cluster 20 (testis) are shown. Average relative expression levels are indicated on the $y$-axis and the tissue-names are shown on the x -axis below the second panel.


Supplementary Figure 6. Tissue distribution of ERV CAGE tags. Observed counts for ERV CAGE tags are compared to expected counts based on all CAGE tags for brain, testis and the average of all other tissues. $\chi^{2}=3,249 P=0$.


Supplementary Table 3. Statistically over-represented (enriched) GO biological process terms for human genes with an ERV-derived TSS generating a chimeric ERV-gene transcript.

| AffyID $^{\boldsymbol{a}}$ | ERV-gene $^{\boldsymbol{b}}$ | GO $^{\boldsymbol{c}}$ | $\boldsymbol{P}^{\boldsymbol{c}}$-value $^{\boldsymbol{d}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 201563_at | NM_003104 | GO:0019751 polyol metabolic process | 0.0015 |
| $205311 \_$at | NM_-000790, <br> NM_001082971 | GO:0006066 alcohol metabolic process | 0.0034 |
| 206463_s_at | NM_-005794, <br> NM_182908 | GO:0008202 steroid metabolic process | 0.0030 |
| 208647_at | NM_004462 | GO:0008299 isoprenoid biosynthetic process | 0.0013 |
| 209546_s_at | NM_-003661, <br> NM_145343 | GO:0008202 steroid metabolic process | 0.0030 |
| 210946_at | NM_003711, <br> NM_176895 | GO:0044255 cellular lipid metabolic process | 0.0089 |
| 213379_at | NM_015697 | GO:0008299 isoprenoid biosynthetic process | 0.0013 |
| 218304_s_at | NM_022776 | GO:0008202 steroid metabolic process | 0.0030 |

${ }^{a}$ AffyID mapped to ERV-related gene
${ }^{b}$ ERV-related gene
${ }^{c}$ Over-represented biological process GO term and description
${ }^{d} P$-value associated with that GO term

Supplementary Figure 7. GO directed acyclic graph showing the parent-child relationships of statistically over-represented (enriched) GO biological process and molecular function terms for human genes with an ERV-derived TSS generating a chimeric ERV-gene transcript. Significantly enriched GO terms are shown in red.


Supplementary Figure 8. Relative frequency of ERV-derived TSS detected by PET versus all ERVs in the genome. ERV types correspond to family names from the RepeatMasker output.


Supplementary Table 4. Average percent divergence between ERVs and their consensus sequences. Divergence is shown for all ERVs and for ERVs that overlap ESTs, CAGE tags and PET tags.

| ERVs | \% Divergence | Number |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All ERVs | $19.6 \pm 0.01$ | 322,408 |
| EST ERVs | $16.5 \pm 0.15$ | 2,678 |
| CAGE ERVs | $19.7 \pm 0.04$ | 39,559 |
| PET ERVs | $13.8 \pm 0.16$ | 2,249 |
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