RESEARCH LETTER

Comparison of US Firearm-Related Deaths Among Children and Adolescents by Race and Ethnicity, 1999-2020

An analysis of Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) mortality data found that firearm-related deaths surpassed motor vehicle collisions as the leading cause of mortality for US children and adolescents aged 1 to 19 years (hereafter “youths”) for the first time in 2020. The 2019 to 2020 increase in the crude rate of firearm-related deaths for youths was more than twice as high as the increase for the general population and was observed across demographic groups. We assessed racial and ethnic differences in the overall burden of firearm-related mortality and in the change in firearm-related mortality among youths from 1999 to 2020.

Methods | Mortality data, race and ethnicity, and population counts for US youths from 1999 to 2020 were taken from the CDC WONDER database. Firearm-related mortality was defined broadly to include deaths caused by firearm homicide, firearm suicide, unintentional firearm death, and undetermined intent firearm death (eTable in the Supplement). Four non-Hispanic racial categories were considered—American Indian or Alaska Native, Asian or Pacific Islander, Black or African American, and White—along with the Hispanic ethnicity category (any race) (see eAppendix in the Supplement for details on race and ethnicity). Firearm-related death rates were compared for all groups in 2020 and for Black and White groups from 1999 to 2020. Crude death rates were calculated as the number of deaths per 100 000 population with 95% confidence intervals (eAppendix in the Supplement). Statistical differences in the rate and proportion of firearm-related deaths among groups were computed using the 2-sample t test and 2-proportion z test, respectively. Analysis was done with Microsoft Excel version 1808. A 2-sided P < .05 defined statistical significance. This study used publicly available, deidentified, aggregate participant data and did not require participant informed consent or institutional review board approval per National Institutes of Health guidelines.

Results | There were 4357 reported firearm-related deaths for US youths in 2020, a crude rate of 5.62 (95% CI, 5.45-5.79) deaths per 100 000 population. The crude rate per 100 000 population of firearm-related mortality for Black youths in 2020 (17.40 [95% CI, 16.65-18.15]) was statistically significantly higher than for any other group (American Indian or Alaska Native, 9.05 [95% CI, 7.03-11.48]; Asian or Pacific Islander, 1.32 [95% CI, 1.01-1.68]; Hispanic, 4.01 [95% CI, 3.73-4.29]; and White, 3.40 [95% CI, 3.22-3.58]) (all comparisons with Black youths, P < .001) (Figure 1). Black youths accounted for 47.1% (n = 2053) of firearm-related deaths in 2020, a mean of 5 to 6 deaths per day, despite making up 15.2% of the population of 1- to 19-year-olds. White youths accounted for a smaller proportion of firearm-related deaths in 2020 (31.6%) relative to their proportion of the population (52.2%) (P < .001 comparing proportions of Black vs White youths).

The rate of firearm-related deaths per 100 000 among US youths fluctuated over time (Figure 2), from 4.42 (95% CI, 4.28-4.58) in 1999, decreasing to 4.34 (95% CI, 4.19-4.49) in 2019.
and increasing to 5.62 (95% CI, 5.45-5.79) in 2020 (29.5% increase between 2019 and 2020). The 2019 to 2020 increase in firearm-related deaths per 100,000 for Black youths was 39.2% (2019: 12.50 [95% CI, 11.87-13.14]; 2020: 17.40 [95% CI, 16.65-18.15]) vs 16.4% for White youths (2019: 2.92 [95% CI, 2.75-3.08]; 2020: 3.40 [95% CI, 3.22-3.58]). The rate of firearm-related deaths per 100,000 for Black youths increased every year since 2013 (8.35 [95% CI, 7.83-8.87]), with a 108.3% increase from 2013 to 2020 for Black youths compared with a 47.8% increase for White youths over the same time (2013: 2.30 [95% CI, 2.15-2.44]).

Discussion | This study found racial and ethnic differences in firearm-related deaths of US youths, with the highest overall burden and recent increase among Black youths. The large increase in firearm-related mortality between 2019 and 2020 may be related to the COVID-19 pandemic and social unrest; further follow-up is needed to see if this trend continues. This study is limited by its reliance on mortality data from the CDC, which may lack precise cause-of-death specification by physicians and involve biases based on coding protocols; mortality rates may be underreported for the American Indian or Alaska Native group. Strategic and effective public health interventions to curtail gun violence and prevent firearm-related deaths among US youths are warranted, especially among Black youths.
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CLIMATE CHANGE AND HEALTH
Association Between the 2021 Heat Wave in Portland, Oregon, and Seattle, Washington, and Emergency Department Visits

Heat waves are becoming more frequent and severe, with pronounced effects on human health. In late June 2021, temperatures in the US Pacific Northwest reached record highs, with reported daily maximums of 46.6°C in Portland, Oregon, and 42.0°C in Seattle, Washington. The adverse health effects associated with this heat wave have only been partially quantified.

Characterization of heat-related morbidity is useful for climate action planning, especially in areas not used to elevated summertime temperatures. We leveraged a health care claims data set of individuals enrolled in commercial and Medicare Advantage insurance plans to assess the association between the June 2021 heat wave and rates of emergency department (ED) visits in Portland and Seattle.

Methods | We obtained deidentified ED claims between January 1, 2021, and December 31, 2021, among enrollees of any age living in Portland and Seattle (selected counties appear in eTable 1 in Supplement 1) from the Optum Labs Data Warehouse. Claims related to heat exposure were those with a subset of standard International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, Tenth Revision (ICD-10) diagnostic codes (eTable 2 in Supplement 1).

The institutional review board at the Boston University Medical Campus approved this study.

Temperatures recorded from 2018 to 2021 at National Weather Service monitoring stations in each area were used to identify the heat wave periods, which were defined as 2 or more consecutive days with daily maximum temperature exceeding the 99th percentile of daily maximums of collected data (eTable 3 in Supplement 1). We used 2 reference periods consisting of the same days of the week as the 2021 heat wave, one occurring 1 week before the heat wave and one occurring 1 week after the heat wave. We assessed the effect of the heat wave on ED visits relative to the period before the heat wave. We used the period after the heat wave to assess whether the change in ED visits persisted after the heat wave ended.

We present incidence rates in ED visits per 100,000 enrollees and incidence rate ratios (IRRs) with 2-sided 95% CIs.